

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 10/22/2001 4923 10/041,944 William H. Gilmore KCX-288 (14271) **EXAMINER** 7590 08/31/2004 John E. Vick, Jr. NGUYEN, JOHN QUOC Dority & Manning, Attorneys at Law, P.A. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P.O. Box 1449 Greenville, SC 29602 3654

DATE MAILED: 08/31/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/041,944	GILMORE ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		John Q. Nguyen	3654		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence ad	dress	
THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION, asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg- period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- teply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a oly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timel DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22				
	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-22 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 				
Applicati	on Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.			
10)	D)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	·	-···	• •	
	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment	• •				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)		
3) 🔲 Inforn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTC)-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/041,944

Art Unit: 3654

Claims 1, 9, 14, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

For clarity and/or definiteness, it appears that –the severed web to—should be inserted after "supplying" (claim 1, line 2 from bottom, similarly in claims 9, 14, 15)

All claims should be revised carefully to correct all other deficiencies similar to the ones noted above.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-6 and 8-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Butterworth et al (US 5226611) in view of Perini (US 4487377).

Butterworth et al discloses an apparatus having substantially all the claimed features including suctions means 78 and 80, interference device/severing roll 34, channel 32, and "transfer pad" which contains suctions means 78 and 80. Air knives and water knives are old and well known in the art and official notice is taken of such; therefore, the alternative use of either of them would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person having ordinary skill in the art based on factors such as preference, design criteria, space optimization, and costs. Servo motors are also old and well known in the art and official notice is also taken of such; therefore, the alternative use of a servo motor to direct the movement of the severing means would

Art Unit: 3654

have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person having ordinary skill in the art based on factors such as preference, design criteria, space optimization, and costs.

Perini discloses another similar apparatus having only one winding station (this is old and well known in the art) and teaches removing the suction force from the web to release the web. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to remove the suction force in the apparatus of Butterworth et al (instead of just reducing it) to more effectively release the web and to configure the apparatus of Butterworth et al for operation on one winding station as shown by Perini, if a second winding station is not desired.

Applicant's arguments filed 6/22/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As noted above, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to configure the apparatus of Butterworth et al for operation on one winding station as shown by Perini, if a second winding station is not desired.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any Art Unit: 3654

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-2689. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katherine Matecki, can be reached on (703) 308-2688. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177.

Jh Q. Myy

John Q. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3654